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2005-2015: EADI visioning on DS

• 2005 EADI Vision paper dealt with Bologna agreement on higher education, danger of mono-disciplinary accreditation processes

• 2015: Major changes led EADI to discuss renewed vision on DS
  ◦ Changes in world development
  ◦ Changes in theory and practice of development research
  ◦ Changes in publication landscape
Changes in world development

- Globalization, the Anthropocene, and climate change
- New geography of global poverty and modes of inequality
- Emergence of BRICS as regional powers
- Changes in aid system
  - UN campaigns
  - New actors: DAC countries, non-DAC countries, CSOs
- Changing nature of migration
- Future changes in post-globalization era
Changes in theory and practice of development research

- Increasing importance of new topics
  - Climate change
  - Migration
  - Inequalities
  - Poverty in high-income and middle-income countries
  - Variety of capitalisms

- New voices and narratives: increasing participation of scholars and students from the global South:

- Inadequacy of existing analytical categories
  - North/South
  - Industrialized/developing
  - Donor/recipient

- Conceptually North/South divide inadequate as development issues become more universal
Changes in publication landscape

- The range of topics in DS evolved
- DS combined in approaches with other disciplines
- Increasing importance of impact factors as quality criteria impacting on development research
  - Books vs. journal articles
  - Critical participatory analysis vs. scientific rigour
- Limiting context for DS scholarly community to engage with development issues in policies and practice
Challenges to DS as research field

- Complexity and urgency of development processes require engagement with other fields of research and practice
- Ontological, epistemological changes in DS
- DS beyond multi- and inter-disciplinarity?
  - multiple sources of knowledge?
  - Links between knowledge production and power relations?
  - Aims of DS?
  - Engaging with the world for necessary changes?
EADI discussions: two papers and edited book

- EADI 2016 Vision paper study commissioned by ExCo to Graduate Institute, Norrag (Geneva), ISS (The Hague)

- Paper commissioned through REEDES on Spanish-language/Latin American contributions to DS debates

- Discussions in ExCo and conferences led to collaborative effort from within EADI

Building DS in the new Millennium: engaging with global change

• Four sections:
  ◦ Narratives and Paradigms
  ◦ Perspectives on Knowledges
  ◦ Scientific Impact and Methodologies
  ◦ Southern (Challenging) Voices and Themes in Development Studies
Section I: New narratives and Paradigms

- **Into the Anthropocene era; inclusive development for the future**
  - Research approaches require interactive and reflexive thinking;
  - multi-, inter-, and trans-disciplinary approaches to research necessary
  - DS requires trans-disciplinary, transnational and post-normal engaging with other fields and with hard sciences
  - ‘Othering’ of knowledge from global South in theory-making needs to be recognized, reduced

- **Traditions reflect different theoretical and empirical approaches**
  - Spanish-language traditions, including dependency theory
  - Francophone tradition; intensive empirical fieldwork as basis for theorization; strong inter-disciplinary links
  - Post-colonial studies: narratives essential to reduce bias and recognize unequal power relations
Section II: Perspectives on Knowledges

- Knowledge and research as social construction
  - **engaged excellence**: delivering high quality research, co-constructing knowledge, mobilising impact-orientated evidence, and building enduring partnerships, emphasising mutual interdependence

- Transformation studies:
  - Inter- and trans-disciplinary conceptualisation; dynamic social interaction in area-based knowledge production; **future studies**

- Multiple knowledges: whose knowledge, framing issues, and defining concepts to recognize bias and identify blind spots in analytical frameworks

- Epistemological biases in analytical frameworks due to **unequal power**: North - South researchers, societal partners and researchers
Section III: Methodologies and Scientific impact

- **Publication scores** increasingly demanding criterion to assess researcher quality
  - Scientific impact assessment ignores diversity in disciplinary fields;
  - DS researchers should challenge this to produce scores reflecting actual practices
- **New Perceptions of DS**: review and consultations for a new vision
- **Mixed research methods** essential to explore complex issues in contemporary world development
  - Participatory and spatial methodologies produce allow knowledge of marginalized groups to be recognized and included; spatial inequalities and relational issues
  - However, reliability and validity of such databases essential for meaningful results; increasing rigour in current contexts of Dev. research
Section IV: including new voices and themes from global South

- DS includes new areas of concern voiced by Southern academic traditions; but inequalities in research partnerships limit truly joint efforts.
- Producing shared visions on DS India-Netherlands: Tanzanian history of DS and future visions.
- **Environmental studies, gender perspectives and research on global urbanization**, provide important lenses to explore development problems in the new Millennium.
- These themes setting future research agendas for next generation of DS researchers and practitioners.
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